

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,632	12/29/2003	Sudhir Diddee	M61.12-0573	5735
2736. 120905098 WESTMAN CHAMPLIN (INCROSOFT CORPORATION) SUITE 1400 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3244			EXAMINER	
			MANSFIELD, THOMAS L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/747.632 DIDDEE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit THOMAS MANSFIELD 3624 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 August 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6.8-19.21-24. 26.27 and 29-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,8-19,21-24,26,27 and 29-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

Attachment(s)

1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-SB/08)
Paper No(s) Medial Data ≥ May 2008, 16 October 2008

5) ☐ Other:

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Final Office action is in reply to the response to Office Action filed on 4 August 2008.

- Claims 1-3, 8-12, 14-19, 21-24, 26, and 29-31 have been amended.
- 3. Claims 7, 20, 25, and 28 have been cancelled.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8-19, 21-24, 26, 27, and 29-31 are currently pending and have been examined.

Response to Amendment

- The Claim Objection in the previous office action is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment to Claim 19.
- 6. Applicant's amendments necessitated the new grounds of rejection

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4 August 2008 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

Claim Objections

8. Amended Claim 29 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 29 recites "sruvey". The Examiner interprets, "sruvey" in Claim 29 as a mis-spelling of "survey". For examination purposes, the Examiner will interpret "sruvey" as "survey". Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for

the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for

patent in the United States.

10. Claims 1-6, 8-19, 21-24, 26, 27, and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being

anticipated by Abelow (U.S. 5,999,908).

With regard to Claims 1, 15, and 26, Abelow teaches a computer-implemented method,

survey service provider (Customer Design System (CDS), Customer-Based Product Design

Module (CB-PD Module), Customer Design Instrument (CDI), Aggregate Customer Desires

(ACD)), and computer-readable medium (software) for collecting information (entered into an

Aggregate Customers Desires (ACD) database) from a user of a computer network (Network) (see at least columns 9, lines 46-55 and column 17, line 32 through column 18, line 65), the

method comprising:

providing, over a computer network, a query form to a recipient (Customer Probes

(CP) are the prompts, questions, etc. stored in a CB-PD Module for interacting with a

Customer, Development Interactions (DI) may be conducted by a variety of means

that may include communications from the Customer Directed Product (CDP) to the

Customer, provide an on-line database of unbiased and objectively worked Customer

Probes (CP)), wherein the query form contains query configuration tools (Interactive

evaluations, Electronic Suggestion Pad (ESP)) including a plurality of controls that

are selectively manipulatable (a button, function key) so as to dictate a content, order

Art Unit: 3624

and method of answering (Development Interaction (DI) with the Customer, recite a reply into the microphone, the key to press after finishing the reply) at least some survey questions to be included in a dynamic survey query (Vendor Initiated Interactions (VII), product Development Interactions (DI)) (see at least column 16, lines 22-24, column 24 lines 52-58, column 25, lines 5-53, column 30, lines 41-65, and column 32, lines 1-64 and Figures 2-5, 24).

- receiving, over the computer network, query configuration data from the recipient (Customer), wherein the query configuration data is indicative of how the dynamic survey query configuration data should be formatted in order to be consistent with manipulation of the plurality of controls (triggers) by the recipient (Customer recite a reply, By what the Customer Probes (CP) test for 780, 784 with groupings for interactions, An example trigger might be the completion of product installation) (see at least column 25, lines 5-19, column 26, lines 7-34 and column 28, lines 3-46, and column 29, lines 28-53 [an example of trigger responses] and Figures 14, 25).
- providing, over the computer network, the dynamic survey query to the user (vendor, Product user, user), wherein the dynamic survey query is formatted so as to be consistent with manipulation of the plurality of controls by the recipient, and wherein the dynamic survey query is configured to request structured responses from the user (see at least column 32, lines 1-65).
- receiving the structured responses from the user (Problem Reports (PR), Analysis
 System) (see at least column 40, line 1 through column 41, line 18 and Figure 30B).
- generating an electronic message to the recipient (customer) indicating that the structured responses have been received, and including the structured responses (appropriate message is sent to the customer) (see at least column 40, line 56 through column 41, line 18 and Figures 27A-B).

With regard to Claims 2, 19, and 27, Abelow teaches automatically integrating the structured responses into a pre-identified application hosted by the recipient (Pre-use Probes,

On-task Probes) (see at least column 37, line 21 through column 38, line 36).

With regard to Claim 3, Abelow teaches:

 receiving a contact indication from the user (the CB-PD Module request the Customer's participation in a Development Interaction (DI)) (see at least column 17.

lines 56-60).

· providing the dynamic survey query to the user in response to the contact indication

(If the Customer agrees, a Development Interaction is performed, delivered to the

Vendor) (see at least column 17, lines 56-66).

With regard to Claim 4, Abelow teaches providing content over the computer network for

display to the user (display them and record the Customer's answers) (see at least column 27,

lines 33-49).

With regard to Claims 5 and 16, Abelow teaches providing a contact link (Multi-direction

 $Communications, communications \ link) \ \textit{in the content} \ (provide \ the \ representative \ of \ the \ first \ party$

with a contact list comprising contact information) (see at least column 42, lines 40-53).

With regard to Claim 6 and 17, Abelow teaches receiving an indication that the user has

activated the contact link (When the Customer initiates it a menu is displayed 284 with the

communications feature) (see at least column 42, lines 60-66).

With regard to Claims 8 and 21, Abelow teaches receiving query definition data indicative of a content of questions (assess the ongoing value of the product) in the dynamic survey query (Benchmarking Probes) wherein the query definition data directly reflects manipulation, by the recipient, of the plurelity of controls (with Customers who are using "demonstration units" to test the Vendor's products) (see at least column 42, lines 16-39 and Figure 27B).

With regard to Claims 9 and 22, Abelow teaches receiving query definition data indicative of non-content (Usability testing) configuration of questions in the dynamic survey query, wherein query definition data directly reflects manipulation, by the recipient, of the plurality of controls (see at least column 45, lines 52-57).

With regard to Claims 10, 23, and 29, Abelow teaches receiving query branching (Exclusive Answer question) indicative of an order in which questions in the query are displayed to the user based on answers to the questions in the dynamic survey query (Development Interaction), wherein the query branching data directly reflects manipulation, by the recipient, of the plurality of controls (indicated by recording yes or no in the data file, or by a code) (see at least column 51, line 31 through column 52, line 57 and Figure 27B).

With regard to Claims 11, 24, and 30, Abelow teaches wherein the query branching data is configured to dynamically (specific trigger event) change which questions are presented to the user based on the user's answers to previous questions (analyzed based on the type of question asked) in the dynamic survey query (Development Interaction) (see at least column 51, line 31 through column 52, line 57 and Figure 27B).

Art Unit: 3624

With regard to Claims 12 and 31, Abelow teaches providing a pre-configured query template for modification by the recipient (may enter additional important reasons for the reply which were not provided on the list, You just used Undo) (see at least column 51, lines 34-60 and Figure 27B).

With regard to Claim 13, Abelow teaches generating a report based on the structured responses (Problem Reports, Analysis System) (see at least column 40, lines 16-30 and Figure 30B).

With regard to Claim 14, Abelow teaches indicating how many times the dynamic survey query has submitted by the user (Diary logs, Count the number of times a Customer uses a Customer Directed Product) (see at least column 39, lines 44-53 and Figure 29B).

With regard to Claim 18, Abelow teaches wherein the survey service component provides the electronic message (message) as an electronic mail message and the user responses as an attachment to the electronic mail message (E-mail system) (see at least column 43, lines 5-16 and Figure 31B).

Art Unit: 3624

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP $\S~706.07(a)$. Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

12. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure:

Maggio (U.S. Pub. No. 2006/0129458), discloses a method and system for interacting

with on-demand video content that includes a dynamic response device for surveys.

• Lehnert (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0204498) discloses a customer interaction

reporting method utilizing electronic data gathering presented on a visual

display.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,632

Art Unit: 3624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THOMAS MANSFIELD whose telephone number is (571)270-1904. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 am-6 pm, alt. Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bradley Bayat can be reached on 571-272-6704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 3624

5 December 2008 Thomas Mansfield

/Bradley B Bayat/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3624